Montgomery County Public Schools

Cybercivility Task Force Feedback
Carver Educational Services Center (CESC), Auditorium
May 7, 2014, 7:00 to 8:30 p.m.

Was today's meeting a good use of your time? Using the scale below, please rate your overall experience.

Not Valuable		*Somewhat Valuable*			*Extremely Valuable*	*No Rating*
1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**4.5**	**5**	
		1	11	1	8	1
		5%	52%	5%	38%	(Not included in %)

The most valuable part of today was…

1. Working with first group to develop definition.
2. When group connects and has chemistry, it's possible to reach shared agreement and understanding.
3. The discussion, especially hearing from students. Their input is very valuable.
4. Discussing social media websites and how and where bullying occurs.
5. Subcommittees.
6. Spending time in subcommittees.
7. Defining cybercivility so that we are all on the same page. I also think that working in subcommittees helped us get a lot done.
8. Small subcommittee meetings, faster discussions.
9. The opportunity to meet as groups not only to get to know other task force members but fellow subcommittee members.
10. The addition of more students.
11. Subcommittee meetings.
12. Meeting up with subcommittees.
13. Speaking and interacting with all present.
14. Creating goals.
15. Defining cybercivility and meeting with my subcommittee.
16. I liked that some members already knew my name.
17. Time with subcommittees.
18. The time when we got to interact with other members and got to know them.
19. Committee discussion.
20. Small group activities.
21. Sharing ideas to find common ground/language with the first set of small groups.
22. Poll and texting to share: Awesome!
23. Group discussion.
24. Began the subgroup work. Only 6 of us were present tonight, but it is a very engaged and creative group.

25. Defining what cybercivility is.
26. Interacting with the table group and subcommittee members and exchanging ideas.

Today would have been better if …

1. Subcommittee really needed more time.
2. If we had more time.
3. It was good, I don't think anything went wrong.
4. We reviewed the description of our subcommittee and tried to focus our discussion around that.
5. We had more time to work in subcommittees.
6. We had more time! There was very vibrant discussion in subcommittees that unfortunately was cut short.
7. More time with subcommittees.
8. Less time leading into the work time.
9. There was more time because we were on a roll with our discussion. Overall the meeting was very good!
10. I had come with research on topic.
11. We had committee guidelines that were a little more clearly defined and fewer interruptions (give directions and let us do the work).

Other Comments/Suggestions …

1. When groups are effective, the process is really meaningful and productive. When groups are lacking in capacity (discussion, knowledge, etc.) it's really difficult to make progress. Switching groups was an effective strategy. More instruction would have been helpful for the second group (subcommittee).
2. 4 out of 6 of our members will be out-of-town for the July meeting because it is so close to 4th of July. (Student Engagement subcommittee)
3. Thanks for sticking to the 8:30 pm end time with the option to stay longer, and the pizza!
4. A lot of the stuff said last time was changed for the battle.
5. More time for the discussions in groups.
6. Thank you for the food!
7. If room changes next meeting, might want to include which doors will be unlocked.
8. Will the cybercivility definition be posted for public comment?
9. It's unclear how much work the subcommittee needs to do.
10. No student today in our small group work, hope we get a student voice for our work as we go forward.
11. I was very impressed with the students participation and how they engaged one another.
12. Great evening – well planned, thanks for the dinner!